

# PATTERNS OF AGRO-DIVERSITY WITH ITS SOCIO-ECONOMIC USES AT GAGAS VALLEY, ALMORA, KUMAUN HIMALAYA

Naveen Chandra PANDEY<sup>1\*</sup>, Deepika BHATT<sup>2</sup>, Deepshikha ARYA<sup>1</sup>, Brij Mohan UPRETI<sup>3</sup>, Neha CHOPRA<sup>3</sup>, Girish Chandra JOSHI<sup>1</sup>, Lalit M. TEWARI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Regional Ayurvedic Research Institute, (RARI) CCRAS, Thapla, Ranikhet-263663, Uttarakhand, India
<sup>2</sup>G.B. Pant National Institute of Himalayan Environment and Sustainable Development, Kosi, Katarmal, Almora 263643, Uttaranchal, India.
<sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, DSB Campus, Nainital

#### Abstract

A large population (70%) of the Kumaun Himalayan region is largely depended on agriculture for its livelihood. In this community, various conventional crops and their associated cropping methods have prevailed for ages. The resulting agro-biodiversity systems are responsible for maintaining soil fertility, as well as a series of varied sociocultural and religious rituals. But less emphasis, poor scientific understanding, and related socioeconomic issues, have gravely encumbered the recognition of solutions for a sustainable agricultural development in the Himalayan region. Currently, the policies have taken into consideration the importance of appropriate technologies, which can play a key role in coping with the uncertainties prevailing in the Himalaya and therefore have stressed the need for on-site training and capacity building of user groups in rural areas of the region. There is a vital need to fetch viable changes in the agricultural policy, research, land use and breeding approaches in reference to mountainous regions. The present paper describes patterns of agro-diversity with its socio-economic uses in the Gagas Valley, Kumaun Himalaya with some policy dimensions, and strategies for management of the agroecosystems.

Keywords: Agro-diversity; Mixed cropping; Conservation; Indigenous knowledge

## Introduction

Agricultural biodiversity encompasses the variety and variability of animals, plants and micro-organisms that are necessary to sustain key functions of the agro-ecosystem, its structure and processes for, and in support of food production and food security [1]. In the Himalayan region, agriculture and allied activities not only provide livelihood to large sections of population but form a fundamental part of their way of living [2-4]. In this region, agro-ecosystems vary widely in the extent of biodiversity organization in terms of cultivars/landraces within a species. Other components plays a significant role in maintaining the long-term stability of traditional agro-ecosystems in a variety of ways, such as it improves soil fertility by incorporating legumes in the crop mixture, helps to minimize crop loss due to insect pests, minimize losses from plant diseases and nematodes. Inaccessibility, environmental heterogeneity, ecological fragility and marginality have favored the evolution of subsistence production systems sustained with organic matter and nutrients derived from the forests, with the emphasis on optimizing productivity in the long term [2-6].

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: pandeynaveen10@gmail.com

In Uttarakhand, about 75–90% of the total population is engaged either with the main occupation of agriculture or its allied practices, dominated by traditional subsistence cereal farming [2-12]. There are about 40 crop species, and farmers spread throughout the different regions have selected landraces of about six types of cereals, five types of pseudo-cereals, six types of millets, 16 types of pulses, four types of oilseeds, five types of condiments and eight types of vegetable [13]. People living in Himalayan villages, utilizes plants for medicine, food, fodder, fuel, timber, agricultural implements and many more other purposes. Uttarakhand state a part of Indian Himalayan Region (IHR) is divided into two divisions, Kumaun and Garhwal Himalalya. The Kumaun Himalaya with an area of 38,000 sq km is rich in its agricultural flora having variation in crop diversity. With varied types of climate (subtropical to alpine), altitude, topography, soil types, valleys, rivers, watersheds and forest resources, Kumaun Himalaya region of Uttarakhand state is suitable for the growth of all kinds of plants.

The cropping pattern were built around two major cropping seasons locally called as Kharif (rainy season) and Rabi (winter season). Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.), Maduwa (*Eleusine coracana* L., Gaertn.), Jhangora (*Echinochloa frumentacea* Link, Hort. Berol), Maize (*Zea mays* L.), Koni (*Setaria italica* L., P. Beauv.) Rajma (*Phaseolus vulagris* L.) and other pulses crops and vegetables were dominant rainy season crops. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Jau (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), Sarson (*Brassica campestris* L.), and pulses like Masur (*Lens culinaris* Medik.), Chana (*Cicer arientinum* L.) were dominant crops of winter season.

## Methodology and Study area

The present study area Gagas valley (Fig. 1), situated 30km away from Ranikhet town, a famous hill station, Almora District, lies approximately between  $79^{\circ}24'-79^{\circ}29'E$  longitude,  $29^{\circ}36'-29^{\circ}42'N$  latitude with an altitude of 1300m in the state of Uttarakhand, which shares the international boundary with China in the north and with Nepal in the east. The average rainfall during (2015-2016) in the study area was about 750 millimeter. The temperature varies from  $2^{\circ}C$  during December and reaches up to  $34^{\circ}C$  in June.



Fig. 1. Panoramic view of agricultural land of Gagas valley

An extensive cross sectional survey on patterns of agriculture diversity and its socioeconomic uses was done following structured questionnaire survey during the year 2014-2016. People of different genders and age groups (Up to 30, 31- 60, above 60) were interviewed for information. To accomplish the conservation aspect, samples of seeds of cereals, millets, pulses, spices, vegetables and oil producing plants were collected from the study area and put forward to Regional Ayurvedic Research Institute, (RARI) CCRAS, Thapla, Ranikhet, Almora according to institute's norms. Site characteristic, source of collection and local name of each taxa was noted at the time of collection and labeled on each specimen.

# **Results and Discussion**

A survey of selected study area reveals the existence of various crops (Fig. 2 and Table 1) and their landraces of an assortment of crops (cereals, millets, pulses, vegetables, oil and fruits).

C N	Table 1. List	of species n	nentioned by the	the informants and their use categories									
S.No.	Botanical	Vernacular	Family				Uses						Part Used
	rtanic	Ttanic		F	Fo	М	Fi	Fu	S	0	Sp	Habit	Uscu
								Cere	als				
1	Oryza sativa L.	Dhan	Poaceae	$^+$	+	+			+			Н	Sd
2	Triticum aestivum L.	Gehun	Poaceae	+	+				+			Н	Sd
3	Zea mays L.	Makka	Poaceae	+	+	+				+		Н	Sd
								Mille	ets				
4	Echinochloa frumentacea Link	Jhungra	Poaceae	+	+							Н	Sd
5	<i>Eleusine coracana</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Madua	Poaceae	+	+	+						Н	Sd
6	Hordeum vulgare L.	Jau	Poaceae	+	+	+			+			Н	Sd
7	Setaria italica (L.) P.	Kauni	Poaceae	+	+	+						Н	Sd
	Beauv.												
8	Sorghum vulgare (L.) Pers.	Jowar	Poaceae	+	+							Н	Sd
								Puls	es				
9	Cajanus cajan (L.) Mill.	Arhar	Fabaceae	+	+							Н	Sd
10	Cicer arientinum L.	Chana	Fabaceae	+		+			+			Н	Sd
11	Glycine max (L.) Merr.	Bhat	Fabaceae	+	+					+		Н	Sd
12	Lens culinaris Medik.	Masur	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
13	Macrotyloma	Gehat	Fabaceae	+		+						Н	Sd
	uniflorum(Lam.) verdc.	_											
14	Phaseolus spp.	Bean	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
15	Phaseolus vulagris L.	Rajma	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
16	Pisum sativum L.	Mattar	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
17	Vicia faba L.	Bakla	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
18	Vigna angularis (Willd.)	Rayans	Fabaceae	+								Н	Sd
10	Vigna mungo (L.)	Mach	Fabacasa									н	\$4
20	Vigna unquiculata (L.)	Lobia	Fabaceae	т 		т						и Ц	Sd Sd
20	Walp.	Lobia	Pabaceae	Ŧ								11	50
	·· •• F							Vegeta	bles				
21	Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench.	Bhindi	Malvaceae	+								Н	Fr
22	Amaranthus frumentacea	Cholai	Amaranthaceae	+		+						Н	L,
	BuchHam.												Sd
23	Benincasa hispida (Thunb.)	Bhuza	Cucurbitaceae	+								Н	Fr
	Cong.												
24	Brassica campestris L.	Sarson	Brassicaceae	+		+				+	+	Н	Sd
25	Brassica juncea (L.) Czern. & Coss.	Rye	Brassicaceae	+		+					+	Н	Sd
26	Brassica oleracea L.	Phulgobhi	Brassicaceae	+								Н	In
27	Brassica oleracea L.	Bandgobhi	Brassicaceae	+								Н	L
28	Brassica nigra Koch.	Lahi	Brassicaceae	+								Н	L
29	Chenopodium album L.	Bathua	Chenopodiaceae	+		+						Н	L
30	Colocasia esculenta (L.)	Gaderi	Araceae	+								Н	L, S,
	Schott.												Rh
31	Cucumis melo L.	Kakree	Cucurbitaceae	+		+						Н	Fr
32	Cucurbita maxima Duch.	Kaddu	Cucurbitaceae	+					+			Н	Fr
33	Cyclanthera pedata	Ramkarela	Cucurbitaceae	+								Н	Fr
	Schrader.												
34	Dioscorea bulbifera L.	Gethi	Dioscoreaceae	+		+			+			Н	Tu
35	Fagopyrum esculentum (L.)	Ugal	Polygonaceae	+					+			Н	L,
													Sd
36	Lagenaria siceraria (Mol.) Standl.	Lauki	Cucurbitaceae	+								Н	Fr
37	<i>Luffa cylindrica</i> (L.) M. Roem	Torai	Cucurbitaceae	+			+					Н	Fr

38	Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.	Tamatar	Solanaceae	+								Н	Fr
39	Momardica charantia L.	Karela	Cucurbitaceae	+		+						Н	Fr
40	Raphanus sativus L.	Muli	Brassicaceae	+		+						Н	L. Rt
41	Solanum melongena L.	Baigun	Solanaceae	+								Н	Fr
42	Solanum tuberosum L	Alu	Solanaceae	+		+						н	Tu
43	Spinacea oleracea L	Palak	Chenopodiaceae	+								н	L
44	Trichosanthes anguina I	Chichan	Cucurbitaceae	_								н	Er
77	Thenosumnes unguina L.	Cilicitati	Cucurbitaceae	<u> </u>		,		Crico	<i>a</i>			11	11
45	Allium cana I	Duani	Liliacaaa					spice	s			н	BI I
45	Allium catuum I	Lohoun	Liliaceae	т ,		т ,					т ,	и П	DI, L D1
40	Camabia sating I	Phong	Connobinococo	+		+					+	п ц	54 54
47	Candidots sativa L.	Miroh	Solorococo	+			+		+	+		п ц	Su Er
40	Capsicum fruiescens L.	Shimle	Solanaceae	+							+	п 11	F1 En
49	Capsicum spp.	Mirch	Solanaceae	+		+					+	н	Fr
50	Cleome viscosa L.	Jakhya	Cleomaceae	+		+					+	Н	Sd
51	Coriandrum sativum L.	Dhania	Apiaceae	+		+					+	Н	L,
													Sd
52	Curcuma domestica Valet	Haldi	Zingiberaceae	+		+			+		+	Н	Rh
53	Mentha arvensis L.	Pudina	Lamiaceae	+						+	+	Н	L
54	Perilla frutescens (L.) Britt.	Bhangjira	Lamiaceae	+		+				+	+	Н	L,
													Sd
55	Trigonella foenum-graecum	Methi	Fabaceae	+		+					+	Н	L,
	L.												Sd
56	Zingiber officinale Roscoe.	Adrak	Zingiberaceae	+		+					+	Н	Rh
	<u> </u>		Ŭ					Oil					
57	Brassica campestris L.	Sarson	Brassicaceae	+		+				+		Н	Sd
58	Linum usitatissimum L.	Alsi	Linaceae	+			+			+	+	Н	Sd
59	Sesamum indicum L.	Til	Pedaliaceae	+					+	+	+	н	Sd
• /								Fr	-				
60	Carica papaya L	Panita	Caricaceae	+		+						т	Fr
61	Citrus aurantifolia	Kagii	Rutaceae	_						-	1	ŝ	Fr
01	(Christm) Swing	Nimbo	Rutaceae									5	11
62	Citrus limon (L) Burm f	Nimboo	Putacaaa									т	Fr
63	Citrus sinansis (L.) Osback	Malta	Putaceae	- T		т 				-		T	Fr
64	Citrus iambhiri Lushington	Iomir	Butaceae	- -		т ,				Ŧ		т	Er.
65	Emplice officipalia Caarto	Amlo	Euphorbiogoog	+		+						T	F1 Er
65	Emblica officinalis Gaerin.	Ainia	Euphorotaceae	+		+			+			T	FI E
00	Ficus auriculata Lour.	D a da	Moraceae	+	+	+		+	+			T	FT
0/	Ficus paimata Forsk.	Bedu	Moraceae	+	+	+			+			I	Fr
68	Juglans regia L.	Akhrot	Juglandaceae	+		+		+		+		I	Fr
69	Mangifera indica L.	Aam	Anacardiaceae	+				+	+		+	1	Fr
70	Morus alba L.	Kimu	Moraceae	+	+				+			1	Fr
71	Musa paradisiaca L.	Kela	Musaceae	+					+			Н	Fr
72	Prunus armeniaca L.	Khubani	Rosaceae	+								Т	Fr
73	Prunus domestica L.	Pulam	Rosaceae	+		+						Т	Fr
74	Prunus persica (L.) Batsch.	Aru	Rosaceae	+		+						Т	Fr
75	Psidium guajava L.	Amrud	Myrtaceae	+		+						Т	Fr
76	Punica granatum L.	Darim	Punicaceae	+					+		+	Т	Fr
77	Pyrus communis L.	Nashpati	Rosaceae	+	+	+						Т	Fr
78	Vitis vinifera L.	Angur	Vitaceae	+		+						C1	Fr

Abbreviations: F: Food, Fo: Fodder, M: Medicinal, Fi: Fiber, Fu: Fuel, S: Sacred, O: Oil, S: Spices, H: Herb, T: Tree, Cl: Climber, Sd: Seed, Fr: Fruit, L: Leaves, Rt: Root, Rh: Rhizome, Tu: Tubers, Bl: Bulbs, In: Inflorescence

In the study area, maximum diversity is exhibited by vegetables crops (31%) followed by fruits (24%), pulses (15%), spices (15%) and millets (7%) cereals (4%), and oil (4%) (Fig. 3). Among the crops of cereals, *Oryza sativa* L. with 5 landraces showed maximum diversity followed by *Triticum aestivum* L. 3 and *Zea mays* L. 2. Among millets, *Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn. represented maximum 4 land races followed by *Hordeum vulgare* L., Echinochloa frumentacea Link. each with 2 land races. *Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv., Cucurbita maxima Duch., *Luffa cylindrica* (L.) M. Roem. and *Raphanus sativus* L. 2 landraces, *Sesamum indicum* L. (Til) 1 landrace.



Fig. 2. Various crops grown in Gagas Valley



Fig. 3. Proportional diversity of various crops

During the study, it was observed that Fabaceae (13 species) is the dominant family of crops, trailed by Cucurbitaceae and Poaceae (8 species each), Brassicaceae (7 species), Rosaceae, Rutaceae and Solanaceae (4 species each) and Moraceae (3 species) (Fig. 4). Apart from the nutritional value of these crops species, they are also having some other utilization patterns (fuel, fodder, food, medicinal, fiber, sacred oil, spices). There are 78 species all having

food and nutritional value, 49 species representing medicinal, 23 species fodder, 18 species spices, 17 species sacred, 12 species oil, 11 species fuel and 2 species fiber (Fig. 5).



Fig. 4. Dominant families of crops



In the study region, inter or mixed cropping and rotating of cereals/millets and grain legumes in a specific sequence is preferred by farmers as the total grain yields per ha as compared to that obtained through sole cropping. It was also found that the traditional knowledge about agriculture was equally distributed between migrant and local inhabitants. Most of the agricultural crops thrived in the region are also used for other purposes i.e. medicinal, economic (Timber, oil, spices etc.), fodder, sacred, other than their nutritional values. It is a fact that a large number of populations in the study region is dependent on agriculture and apart from growing cereals, millets etc., region is a crux for vegetables. Huge quantities of vegetables are sold to nearby towns from here. But still a drastic beg off in diversity and production of traditional crops during last decades occurred due to changing socio-economic conditions, promotion of cash crops and change in climatic conditions in agriculture system of Himalaya [2-15].

# Conclusion

The decline in interest of local farming communities towards traditional crop cultivation, all across the region, is well known, and its reasons are attributed to climatic, cultural, and socio-economic transformations [2-17]. Thus it could be emphasized that for the conservation of traditional crop diversity and their landraces for genetic heritage, socio cultural activities of the inhabitants with other institutional and policy support and sustainable land use development could be the safest and effective conservation initiatives [18].

Indigenous knowledge on agro-diversity could play a better role in the development of mountainous regions. Mixed cropping of 12 crops (Baranaja), combination of cereals with millets, millets with legumes, and legumes with legumes [2-20], is a common practice in the region, due to the fact that these crops are adapted to the local environmental conditions and possess the inherent qualities to withstand the environmental risks and other natural hazards [21]. This kind of mixed cropping ensures increase yield stability, thus providing improved returns [22-27] and least soil erosion by providing continuous cover. To conserve the, conventional crops and cropping systems, it will be helpful to develop faith on these systems for not only good source of food and nutrition.

Agro-biodiversity based livelihood options can be added through the inclusion of various bio-resources-based technologies, which need an explicit strategy to support the implementation of appropriate technologies that consider the needs of the people in different agro-climatic zones [28].

On the basis of above studies we stress that some long-term seed conservation techniques for the local farmers are needed, and proper irrigation methodology should be developed. Traditional crops should be conserved in the research centers and gene banks.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to local people, informants for their immense help during the tenure of the study. We also thankful to Director, Regional Ayurvedic Research Institute (RARI), CCRAS, Thapla, Ranikhet and Head, Department of Botany, Kumaun University, Nainital for infrastructure, facilities and encouragement.

# References

- [1] \* \* \*, Agricultural Biodiversity FAO, Netherland Conference on the Multifunctional Character of Agriculture and Land, Food and Agriculture Organization (F.A.O.), 1999, p. 42.
- [2] R.K. Maikhuri, N. Nautiyal, K.S. Rao, K.G. Saxena, R.L. Semwal, Eroding traditional crop diversity imperils the sustainability of agricultural systems in central Himalaya, Current Science, 73(9), 1997, pp. 777-782.
- [3] R.K. Maikhuri, K.S. Rao, R.L. Semwal, *Changing scenario of Himalayan agroecosystems:* Loss of agrobiodiversity, an indicator of environmental change in Central Himalaya, India, **The Environmentalist**, **21**, 2001, pp. 23–39.
- [4] V.S. Negi, Studies on ecological and economic assessment of a cluster of villages in Rawain valley of central Himalaya (Uttaranchal): An ecosystem approach, **Ph.D. Thesis**, HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar (Garhwal), India, 2009.
- [5] R.K. Maikhuri, R.L. Semwal, K.S. Rao, K.G. Saxena, A.K. Das, Indigenous Techniques of Agricultural Soil of California at Davis, CA, 2001.
- [6] L.M.S. Palni, R.K. Maikhuri, K.S. Rao, Conservation of the Himalayan Agroecosystems: Issues and Priorities, Technical Paper III, Himalayan Eco-Regional Cooperation Meeting, 16–18 February, Kathmandu, Nepal, 1998.
- [7] V.P. Sati, Natural Resources Management and Food Security in the Alaknanda Basin of Garhwal Himalaya, 2008.
- [8] V.S. Negi, R.K. Maikhuri, L.S. Rawat, P.C. Phondani, An inventory of indigenous knowledge and cultivation practices of medicinal plants in Govind Pashu Vihar wildlife sanctuary, Central Himalaya, India, International Journal of Biodiversity Science Ecosystem Services and Management, 6(3-4), 2010, pp. 96–105.
- [9] A. Chandra, L.S. Rakesh Kandari, Role of Rural and Tribal Women in Conservation of Village Ecosystem: A Case Study of Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve, India, Environment and We: An International Journal Science and Technology, 4, 2009, pp. 29-34.
- [10] A. Chandra, P. Pardha-Saradhi, R.K. Maikhuri, K.G. Saxena, K.S. Rao, *Traditional Agro diversity Management: A Case Study of Central Himalayan Village Ecosystem*, Journal of Mountain Science, 8(1), 2011, pp. 62-74.
- [11] A. Chandra, P. Pardha-Saradhi, K.S. Rao, K.G. Saxena, R.K. Maikhuri, An Investigation into the Energy Use in Relation to Yield of Traditional Crops in Central Himalayas, India. Biomass and Bioenergy, 35, 2011, pp. 2044-2052.
- [12] P.S. Bungla, Agri-diversity patterns and local food systems Along an Altitudinal Gradient of kuloor Watershed Kumaun Himalaya, Ph.D Thesis, Kumaun University, Nainital, 2012, pp. 151.
- [13] A.N. Farooquee, R.K. Maikhuri, Communities and their agro biodiversity priorities for agriculture in Uttarakhand Himalaya, India, Outlook on Agriculture, 38(4), 2009, pp. 383–389.
- [14] R.K. Maikhuri, K.S. Rao, K.G. Saxena, Traditional crop diversity for sustainable development of Central Himalayan agroecosystems, International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology, 2, 1996, pp. 1–24.
- [15] R. L. Semwal, S. Nautiyal, K.K. Sen, K.G. Saxena, K.S. Rao, U. Rana, R.K. Maikhuri, Patterns and ecological implications of agricultural land use changes; A case study from central Himalaya, India, Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment, 102, 2004, pp. 81-92.

- [16] S.M. Ashish, Agriculture economy of Kumaon hills: Threat to ecological disaster. Economic and Political Weekly 14(25), 1979, pp. 1058–1064.
- [17] K.K. Sen, R.L. Semwal, U. Rana, Patterns and implications of land use/cover change: A case study in Pranmati watershed (Garhwal Himalaya, India), Mountain Research and Development, 22, 2002, pp. 56–62.
- [18] S. Nautiyal, H. Kaechele, Traditional crop diversity needs institutional and policy support for this conservation and sustainable land use development in Himalayas of India. Berlin Conference on Human Dimensions of Global Environmental Change: Resource Policies Effectiveness, Efficiency, and Equity, 2006.
- [19] G.S. Singh, K.S. Rao, K.G. Saxena, *Energy and economic efficiency of the mountain farming system: A case study in the north-western Himalaya*, Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 9, 1997, pp. 25-49.
- [20] S. Nautiyal, R.K. Maikhuri, K.S. Rao, R.L. Semwal, K.G. Saxena, Agroecosystem function around a Himalayan Biosphere Reserve, Journal of Environmental Systems, 29, 2002, pp. 71-100.
- [21] P. Ghosh, P.P. Dhayani, *Baranaja: The traditional mixed cropping system of central Himalaya*, **Outlook on Agriculture**, **33**, 2004, pp. 261-266.
- [22] R.W. Willey, S.B. Heath, *The quantitative relationship between plant population and crop yield*, **Advances in Agronomy**, **21**, 1979, pp. 282-321.
- [23] E.S. Jensen, *Grain yield, symbiotic N2 fixation and interspecific competition for inorganic N in a pea-barley intercrops*, **Plant and Soil, 182**, 1996, pp. 25-38.
- [24] A.L. Anil, J. Park, R.H. Philips, F.A. Miller, Temperate inter cropping of cereals for forage: A review of the potential for growth and utilization with particular reference to the UK, Grass and Forage Science, 53, 1998, pp. 301-317.
- [25] H.K. Dapaah, J.N. Asafu-Agyei, S.A. Ennin, C. Yamoach, Yield stability of cassava, maize, soybean and cowpea intercrops, Journal of Agricultural Science, 140, 2003, pp. 73-83.
- [26] G. Agegnehu, A. Ghizaw, W. Sinebo, Crop productivity and land-use efficiency of a Teff/faba bean mixed cropping system in tropical highland environment, Exprimental Agriculture, 42, 2006, pp. 495-504.
- [27] M.C. Langat, M.A. Okiror, J.P. Ouma, R.M. Gesimber, *The efffect of intercropping groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) with sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) on yield and cash income*, Agriculture Tropical et Subtropica, 39, 2006, pp. 87-90.
- [28] V.S. Negi, R.K. Maikhuri, Socio-Ecological and Religious Perspective of Agrobiodiversity Conservation: Issues, Concern and Priority for Sustainable Agriculture, Central Himalaya, Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 26(2), 2013, pp. 491-512.

*Received: July 07, 2016 Accepted: May 30, 2017*